## LIX SPbSU Championship

May 12, 2024

# Problem A: Element-Wise Comparison 

Idea: Dmitry Belichenko<br>Development: Dmitry Belichenko<br>Nikita Gaevoy<br>Editorial: Ivan Bochkov
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## Element-Wise Comparison

■ Given a permutation $p$, we need to find a number of pairs of subarrays of a given length such that the left one is element-wise smaller than the right one.
■ Consider Boolean matrix $C_{\ell, s}$ such that $C_{\ell, s}=1$ iff $p_{\ell}<p_{\ell+s}$.
■ How do we do that? Bitsets! (or pragmas, they also help)
■ We can construct this matrix explicitly in time $O\left(n^{2} / w\right)$.
■ We can choose pivot elements with step by $m$ rows and then compute prefix- and suffix-OR between pivot elements to compute the answer. This part also takes $O\left(n^{2} / w\right)$ time.

# Problem B: Schoolgirls 

Idea: Mikhail Ivanov<br>Development: Mikhail Ivanov<br>Editorial: Mikhail Ivanov

## Schoolgirls
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## Schoolgirls

- We are given the vertices of a regular polygon on the plane

■ We can extend a triangle to form a parallelogram, adding one new point to our set
■ After several such operations, check the regularity of polygons with vertices from our set
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## Schoolgirls

■ Each vertex can be associated with a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$
■ The operation on vertices $A, B, C$ yields $D=A+C-B$
$\square$ How to check that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ is regular?
$\square$ Firstly, let $M=\frac{A_{1}+\ldots+A_{n}}{n}, A_{i}^{\prime}=A_{i}-M$
$\square$ Check that $A_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\prime}$ is regular with zero center

- Rotate each vector by $\frac{2 \pi}{n}$ and check that the rotated vector is in the set

■ To avoid fractions, perform the same check with $n A_{i}^{\prime}$ instead of $A_{i}^{\prime}$
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## Schoolgirls

■ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a two-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$
■ Store two real coordinates of each point, add and check regularity accordingly
■ However, modern computers are uncapable of storing an element of $\mathbb{R}$

- Precision errors

■ For any $n \neq 4$, we can construct a sequence which exponentially tends to a point but never reaches it

- Therefore, no finite precision is enough
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- Regular n-gon generates a vector space of dimension $\varphi(n)$
- To understand this vector space better, please refer to MemSQL Start[c]UP 3.0, Round 1, problem G: Circle of Numbers
■ Now we store $\varphi(n)$ integers
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## Schoolgirls

- Instead, let us work in a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$
- Regular n-gon generates a vector space of dimension $\varphi(n)$
- To understand this vector space better, please refer to MemSQL Start[c]UP 3.0, Round 1, problem G: Circle of Numbers
■ Now we store $\varphi(n)$ integers
- Choose the basis from the vertices of the initial polygon
- To rotate a vector by $\frac{2 \pi}{n}$ radians, replace each basis vector with the representation of the next vertex in the polygon
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## Schoolgirls

■ To avoid operations with very long integers, choose a random prime, and calculate the sum modulo this prime

- Asymptotics:
- $\mathcal{O}(\varphi(n))$ per parallelogram
- $\mathcal{O}\left(\varphi(n)^{2}\right)$ per one $\frac{2 \pi}{n}$ rotation
- $\mathcal{O}\left(n \varphi(n)^{2}\right)$ per one polygon check

■ Still quite slow
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## Schoolgirls

■ Let us embed this structure into $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ for some prime $p$
■ For that, $n$ should divide $p-1$
■ Iterate over large numbers of form $k n+1$ and check primality, find its generating root and take its $k^{\text {th }}$ power $g$
■ Rotation around zero is $x \mapsto g x$, polygon is $g, g^{2}, \ldots, g^{n-1}, g^{n}=1$
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## Schoolgirls

■ What about $m$-gons, $m \neq n$ ?
■ If $m$ does not divide $\operatorname{lcm}(n, 2)$, it is definitely not regular
■ If $n$ is odd, then a regular $2 n$-gon is constructible, so let us start with $2 n$ from the beginning
■ If $m$ divides $n$, rotation $\frac{2 \pi}{m}$ is $x \mapsto g^{n / m} x$

# Problem C: Cherry Picking 

Idea: Anton Maidel<br>Development: Anton Maidel<br>Editorial: Mikhail Ivanov

## Cherry Picking

- You played $n$ games of chess
- You are given the $n$ chess ratings of your opponents

■ For each game, you also know whether it was a win or a loss

- Find the maximum $x$ such that, among the games against players with rating $\geq x$, there were $k$ wins in a row


## Cherry Picking

■ Note that binary search is impossible: no monotonicity

## Cherry Picking

■ Note that binary search is impossible: no monotonicity
■ There are two solutions: with a segment tree-like data structure and with DSU

■ Data structures:

## Cherry Picking

- Data structures:
- Unpicked game $=0$
- Picked victory $=1$
- Picked defeat $=-\infty$


## Cherry Picking

- Data structures:
- Unpicked game $=0$
- Picked victory $=1$
- Picked defeat $=-\infty$

■ Standard divide-and-conquer method for maximizing the sum on a subarray
$\qquad$
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## Cherry Picking

- DSU:
- Gradually increase $x$
- At first, we have many segments between defeats

■ What happens?

- As a defeat disappears, two segments merge
- As a victory disappears, a unit of value is lost

■ Instead of DSU, one can use std: :set of pairs of integers

- (moment of defeat, the chain of victories that it cut off)


# Problem D: Dwarfs' Bedtime 

Idea: Ivan Kazmenko<br>Development: Ivan Kazmenko<br>Editorial: Ivan Kazmenko

## Dwarfs' Bedtime

- Snow White and $n$ dwarfs live in the house

■ Each dwarf is asleep for consecutive 12 hours each day (periodic), and awake also for consecutive 12 hours each day
■ We have one day, from 00:00 to 23:59, to ask questions
■ For each dwarf, we can interactively ask whether he is asleep or awake at most 50 times

- For each dwarf, find the exact minute when he goes to sleep

■ Twist: we cannot return back in time to ask a question

## Dwarfs' Bedtime

■ Dwarfs are independent, let us solve the problem for one dwarf
■ The constraints allow us, at each minute from 00:00 to $23: 59$, to check whether we have a question for each dwarf

## Dwarfs' Bedtime

For every dwarf:
■ First, ask at 00:00
■ If the dwarf is awake, he will turn asleep and then turn awake
■ If the dwarf is asleep, he will turn awake and then turn asleep
■ The solutions are symmetric: just compare with state at $00: 00$, and add 12 hours at the end if needed

## Dwarfs' Bedtime

For every dwarf:
■ What if we could go back in time?
■ Binary search: $12 \cdot 60=720$ minutes means 10 more questions

## Dwarfs' Bedtime

For every dwarf:
■ What are the key moments we can look for?
■ 1. The dwarf changes state from 00:01 until 12:00
■ 2. The dwarf changes state from 12:01 until $24: 00$
■ But we can't ask at $24: 00$, so take care with the last minute
■ (How: if we didn't find the answer, then the answer is 00:00)
■ Idea: find the first moment approximately, then find the second moment precisely

## Dwarfs' Bedtime

For every dwarf:
■ Square-root approach: separate $729>720$ minutes into 27 sections of 27 minutes
■ Until 12:00, ask at the start of each section
■ Until 24:00, ask at each minute of the appropriate section
■ $1+27+27=55>50$, a bit not enough

## Dwarfs' Bedtime

For every dwarf:
■ Refined square-root approach: separate $741>720$ minutes into 38 sections of $38,37,36, \ldots, 3,2,1$ minutes
■ Until 12:00, ask at the start of each section
■ Until 24:00, ask at each minute of the appropriate section
■ If we got inside section $k$, it contains $39-k$ minutes to ask
■ The total number of questions will be $1+39=40<50$, which is quite enough

# Problem E: Fake Coin and Lying Scales 

Idea: Ivan Bochkov<br>Development: Ivan Bochkov<br>Editorial: Ivan Bochkov
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## Fake Coin and Lying Scales

■ We have $n$ coins and two-pan scales which may lie up to $k$ times. One coin is fake, heavier than others.
■ We need to find the fake coin.

- We may make up to $3 k$ wrong guesses.
- Our goal is to find the maximum possible $n$ such that it is doable, with some accuracy (10 on the logarithmic scale).
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## Fake Coin and Lying Scales

- Suppose we made some weighings. What information do we have for any coin?
■ Only one number up to $k$ : the number of times the scales lied to us if this coin is fake.
■ Define a potential $p(\ell, v)$ : the potential of coin if we may make up to $\ell$ weighings and lie up to $v$ times, if this coin is fake.
■ $p$ are taken in such a way that $p(0,0)=1$ and $p(\ell, v)=2 p(\ell-1, v-1)+p(\ell-1, v)$.
- The potential of a state is defined as the sum of potentials of all its coins.
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## Fake Coin and Lying Scales

- With this potential, we may note that the sum of potentials of 3 possible results of weighings is equal to the potential of the initial state.
- So $n \leq \frac{(3 k+1) 3^{n}}{p(n, k)}$.

■ Also, we may note that we can split the potential almost equally on each step, so this approximation is good enough.

- $p(n, k)=\sum_{j \leq k} C_{n}^{j} 2^{j}$.

■ All we need is to approximate this sum. This may be done in many ways, probably the easiest one is the following:

## Fake Coin and Lying Scales
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## Fake Coin and Lying Scales

■ Consider the maximal summand $m$ instead of the whole sum.

- Then $\frac{1}{4 k^{\frac{1}{2}}} p(n, k) \leq m \leq p(n, k)$.

■ So we may take $\frac{m}{2 k^{\frac{1}{4}}}$ as an approximation.

- Accuracy is $O\left(k^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$, which is good enough.

■ It is possible to approximate with constant accuracy though.
$\qquad$

# Problem F: Whole World 

Idea: Mikhail Ivanov<br>Ivan Bochkov<br>Development: Ivan Bochkov<br>Editorial: Ivan Bochkov

## Whole World

- A polynomial is whole if it takes integer values at all integer points.
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## Whole World

- A polynomial is whole if it takes integer values at all integer points.
■ We have some points $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ with $x_{i} \leq 30$.
- What is the smallest degree of a whole polynomial taking these values in these points?
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## Whole World

■ Whole polynomials are linear combinations of binomial coefficients.
■ So at least one such whole polynomial exists.
■ Let us first forget the condition that the polynomial should be whole.
■ Then we may just interpolate the given points and obtain some polynomial.
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## Whole World

■ If it is whole, we win. And this condition is enough to check in points $1,2, \ldots, d$, where $d$ is its degree.

- If no, we may note that all denominators have divisors only from small powers of prime numbers up to 29 .
■ Then it is enough to solve problem modulo these powers of primes, and take the maximum.
■ We can do a binary search by degree. How to check that a whole polynomial of given degree $d$ exists?


## Whole World
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## Whole World

■ If we take vectors $v_{i}=\left(C_{x_{1}}^{i}, \ldots, C_{x_{n}}^{i}\right)$, we need to check that some given number is the linear combination of $v_{i}$.
■ It is enough to check it modulo small powers of small primes.
■ So, we need to solve some linear system modulo powers of primes, which may be done by a diagonalization process close to Gauss elimination.
■ By the way, you may prove that first part with interpolation and checking polynomial isn't necessary here. It is enough just to solve the system modulo prime powers.

## Whole World

$\square$ If we take vectors $v_{i}=\left(C_{x_{1}}^{i}, \ldots, C_{x_{n}}^{i}\right)$, we need to check that some given number is the linear combination of $v_{i}$.
■ It is enough to check it modulo small powers of small primes.
■ So, we need to solve some linear system modulo powers of primes, which may be done by a diagonalization process close to Gauss elimination.
■ By the way, you may prove that first part with interpolation and checking polynomial isn't necessary here. It is enough just to solve the system modulo prime powers.

- Bonus. Solve it with $x_{i} \leq 10^{9}$.


# Problem G: Unusual Case 

Idea: Sergey Kopeliovich<br>Development: Sergey Kopeliovich Editorial: Mikhail Ivanov

## Unusual Case

■ You are given a random undirected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges
■ Find $k$ non-intersecting Hamiltonian paths in the given graph
■ $n=10000, m=200000, k=8$

## Unusual Case

■ How to find one path?

## Unusual Case

■ How to find one path?

- Greedy random walk


## Unusual Case

- How to find one path?
- Greedy random walk

■ If nowhere to go, rebuild as in the picture:


## Unusual Case

■ After finding $k$ paths, start finding path $k+1$ the same way

## Unusual Case

■ After finding $k$ paths, start finding path $k+1$ the same way
■ If we did not succeed to find 8 paths, start over

## Unusual Case

■ In 2021, it was proven that one path can be found in $\mathcal{O}(n)$

## Unusual Case

■ In 2021, it was proven that one path can be found in $\mathcal{O}(n)$

- After removing several random Hamiltonian paths, the graph is still pretty random


# Problem H: Page on vdome.com 

Idea: Mikhail Ivanov<br>Development: Anastasia Grigorieva<br>Editorial: Anastasia Grigorieva

## Page on vdome.com

■ Write down all the numbers from 1 to $N$, each one backwards.
■ Remove all leading zeros.
■ Find the Minimum EXcluded number (MEX).

## Page on vdome.com

■ For almost all $N$, the answer is 10 .
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■ For almost all $N$, the answer is 10 .
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## Page on vdome.com

■ For almost all $N$, the answer is 10 .

- Because there are no page addresses where 0 is placed between "id" and the first significant digit.
■ Thus, 10 cannot exist in the set of resulting numbers. And 10 will be the MEX for all $N \geqslant 10$.
- The answer for $N<10$ is $N+1$.


# Problem I: Spin \& Rotate! 

Idea: Mikhail Ivanov<br>Development: Mikhail Ivanov<br>Editorial: Mikhail Ivanov

## Spin \& Rotate!

- Consider a tangle of two ropes $A B$ and $C D$
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- Consider a tangle of two ropes $A B$ and $C D$
- Two operations:
- S - spin: spin the square $A B C D 90^{\circ} \mathrm{ccw}$
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## Spin \& Rotate!

- Consider a tangle of two ropes $A B$ and $C D$
- Two operations:
- S - spin: spin the square $A B C D 90^{\circ} \mathrm{ccw}$
- R - rotate: swap ends $A$ and $D$, rotating around each other ccw

■ You are given some initial sequence of operations
■ Perform more operations to disentangle the ropes

## Spin \& Rotate!

■ The problem is based on a known plot

## Spin \& Rotate!

- The problem is based on a known plot

■ Conway’s Rational Tangles

## Spin \& Rotate!

- The problem is based on a known plot

■ Conway's Rational Tangles
■ Feel free to search it and watch some videos with people playing with two ropes!

## Spin \& Rotate!

■ Redefine operation $S$
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## Spin \& Rotate!

- Redefine operation $S$

■ Instead of rotating everything $90^{\circ} \mathrm{ccw}$, let us imagine Ka-BAN going to next side cw
■ Now R rotates not $A$ and $D$, but along the side Ka-BAN is currently close to

## Spin \& Rotate!

■ Define operation $\div$ - horizontal sum
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## Spin \& Rotate!

- Therefore, there are four possible R applied to a rational tangle $T$ :


## Spin \& Rotate!

- Therefore, there are four possible R applied to a rational tangle $T$ :
- $T \mapsto T \| V$
- $T \mapsto T \div H$
- $T \mapsto V \cdot T$
- $T \mapsto H \div T$
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## Spin \& Rotate!

■ Let us call a tangle rational if it is reachable from the initial tangle 0 via a sequence of $R$ and $S$

- Two rational tangles are equivalent if they are reachable from each other by smooth deformation above the square


## Spin \& Rotate!

Theorem
Any rational tangle is equivalent to a horizontally/vertically flipped one.
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Theorem
Any rational tangle is equivalent to a horizontally/vertically flipped one.

## Proof.

By induction.

## Spin \& Rotate!

## Theorem

Any rational tangle is equivalent to a horizontally/vertically flipped one.

## Proof.

By induction.
Corollary
$\div$ and $\because$ are commutative: $A \div B=B \div A, A \cdots B=B \cdots A$.

## Spin \& Rotate!

■ $T \div H=H \div T$
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■ $T \div H=H \div T$
■ $T \cdot V=V \cdot T$

- Therefore, there are only two possible R :
- $T \mapsto T \div H$
- $T \mapsto T \| V$
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## Spin \& Rotate!

■ $T \div H=H \div T$
■ $T \cdot V=V \cdot \mid \cdot T$

- Therefore, there are only two possible R :
- $T \mapsto T \div H$
- $T \mapsto T \| V$

■ Also, $S$ undoes an $S$

- $\mathrm{S}^{-1} \sim \mathrm{~S}$


## Spin \& Rotate!

- How to undo an R?
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- How to undo an R?

■ For instance, how to transform $T \div H \mapsto T$ ?

## Spin \& Rotate!

■ How to undo an R?
■ For instance, how to transform $T \div H \mapsto T$ ?
■ Let us try to add a vertical unit: $(T \div H) \| V$

## Spin \& Rotate!

## Spin \& Rotate!
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- After three $S$ the robot also changes its orientation
- Therefore, $\operatorname{RSRSRS} \sim \mathrm{id}$


## Spin \& Rotate!

■ So $((T \div H) \cdot V) \div H$ is actually just a rotated $T$

- After three $S$ the robot also changes its orientation
- Therefore, RSRSRS ~id
- $\mathrm{R}^{-1} \sim \operatorname{SRSRS}$
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## Spin \& Rotate!

- Therefore, we can somehow undo any sequence

■ Reverse the sequence, replace each R with SRSRS
■ But will it be the shortest one?

- Probably no:
- a substring SS can be removed
- a substring RSRSRS can be removed
- a suffix RS can be replaced with $S$ (if we end with zero tangle)

■ Actually, these are enough!

## Spin \& Rotate!

■ Let us assign a rational number (or $\infty$ ) to each rational tangle
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## Spin \& Rotate!

■ Let us assign a rational number (or $\infty$ ) to each rational tangle

- Initial tangle is 0
- $x \stackrel{\mathrm{~S}}{\mapsto}-\frac{1}{x}$

■ $x \stackrel{\mathrm{R}}{\mapsto} x+1$

## Spin \& Rotate!

■ Let us assign a rational number (or $\infty$ ) to each rational tangle

- Initial tangle is 0
- $x \stackrel{\mathrm{~S}}{\mapsto}-\frac{1}{x}$
- $x \stackrel{\mathrm{R}}{\mapsto} x+1$
- $\frac{1}{0}=\infty, \quad \frac{1}{\infty}=0, \quad \infty+1=\infty$


## Spin \& Rotate!

## Theorem

Two rational tangles are equivalent if and only if their rational numbers are equal.

## Theorem

If a sequence of $R$ and $S$ obtaining $x$ from 0 does not contain a substring $S S, R S R S R S$, or a prefix $S R$, it cannot be shortened. If a sequence of $R$ and $S$ obtaining 0 from $x$ does not contain a substring $S S, S R S R S R$, or a suffix $R S$, it cannot be shortened.

## Problem J: First Billion

Idea: Sergey Kopeliovich<br>Development: Sergey Kopeliovich Editorial: Mikhail Ivanov

## First Billion

■ We generated two sets of positive integers, each of size $n$ and with sum $10^{9}$
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## First Billion

■ We generated two sets of positive integers, each of size $n$ and with sum $10^{9}$
■ They are merged and shuffled into a set of size $N=2 n$
■ Restore a subset of any size with sum $10^{9}$

## First Billion

■ If there are $N \leq 18$ elements, we can solve in $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{N}\right)$

## First Billion

- If there are $N \leq 18$ elements, we can solve in $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{N}\right)$

■ If there are $N \leq 36$ elements, we can use meet-in-the-middle approach to solve in $\mathcal{O}\left(N \cdot 2^{N}\right)$

## First Billion

- If there are $N \leq 18$ elements, we can solve in $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{N}\right)$

■ If there are $N \leq 36$ elements, we can use meet-in-the-middle approach to solve in $\mathcal{O}\left(N \cdot 2^{N}\right)$

- What if $N>36$ ?


## First Billion
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■ Greedily distribute the numbers among $B=36$ buckets
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## First Billion

■ Greedily distribute the numbers among $B=36$ buckets

- Solve in $\mathcal{O}^{*}\left(2^{B / 2}\right)$ time
- Since $2^{B}$ is much larger than $10^{9}$, the solution exists
$\qquad$


# Problem K: Tasks And Bugs 

Idea: Nikolay Dubchuk<br>Development: Nikolay Dubchuk<br>Editorial: Nikolay Dubchuk

## Tasks And Bugs

■ There is a list of bugs, and for each bug, there is a list of tasks

## Tasks And Bugs

■ There is a list of bugs, and for each bug, there is a list of tasks
■ Create a list of tasks with a list of bugs for each task

## Tasks And Bugs

■ Idea: create a map for tasks, add bugs

## Tasks And Bugs

■ Idea: create a map for tasks, add bugs
■ Carefully output the result, sorting in numerical order, not lexicographical

# Problem L: Candies 

Idea: Ivan Bochkov<br>Development: Ivan Bochkov Editorial: Ivan Bochkov

## Candies

$■$ We have three integers $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, initially zeroes.
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## Candies

■ We have three integers $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, initially zeroes.
■ In one step, we increase one of them by 1 , but $x_{1}$ should be the maximal one during the process.
■ Calculate the number of way to obtain $x_{1}=a, x_{2}=b, x_{3}=c$.
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## Candies

■ We may generate answers for $a, b, c<500$ using a dynamic programming solution in $\mathcal{O}(a b c)$ time.
■ Turns out that answers for $a=b$ (and $a=c$ by symmetry) may be described by a simple formula.
■ Namely, if the answer to the problem is $f(a, b, c)$, then $f(a, a, 0)=\frac{(2 n)!}{n!(n+1)!}$ : the Catalan number.
■ Moreover, $f(a, a, k)=\frac{(2 a+k)!}{a!(a+1)!} k!\cdot \prod_{m=a-k+1}^{a} \frac{2 m}{2 m+1}$.

## Candies

■ How to prove this? Well, it is some equality with hyperheometric coefficients, and it can be proved using the polynomial recurrence technique.
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## Candies

■ How to prove this? Well, it is some equality with hyperheometric coefficients, and it can be proved using the polynomial recurrence technique.
■ You may read about it, for example, in the book " $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}$ " by Doron Zeilberger.
■ I don't know the combinatorial meaning of this formula. If anyone has the idea, please share!
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- What to to with general $(a, b, c)$ ?

■ Consider all ways to obtain $(a, b, c)$ if we drop the condition $x_{1} \geq x_{2}, x_{3}$.
■ This will count some extra ways as well. What do they look like?
■ We reach the point $(x, x, y)$ or $(x, y, x)$ for some $x, y$, make a step to $(x, x+1, y)$ or $x, y, x+1$, and then somehow reach ( $a, b, c$ ).
■ If we fix $x, y$, this number may be calculated using $f(x, x, y)$.

## Candies

- What to to with general $(a, b, c)$ ?

■ Consider all ways to obtain $(a, b, c)$ if we drop the condition $x_{1} \geq x_{2}, x_{3}$.

- This will count some extra ways as well. What do they look like?
■ We reach the point $(x, x, y)$ or $(x, y, x)$ for some $x, y$, make a step to $(x, x+1, y)$ or $x, y, x+1$, and then somehow reach ( $a, b, c$ ).
■ If we fix $x, y$, this number may be calculated using $f(x, x, y)$.
- We may check all pairs $x, y$. Asymptotic is $\mathcal{O}\left(a^{2}\right)$.


## Candies

## Any combinatorial meaning?

## Problem M: Toilets

Idea: Leonid Dyachkov<br>Nikita Gaevoy<br>Development: Nikita Gaevoy<br>Editorial: Ivan Bochkov

## Toilets

■ Consider a circular office with toilets.
■ Employees move around the office in one of two possible directions, looking for an empty toilet.
■ Employees ignore occupied toilets, and when they find a vacant one, they occupy it for an amount of time, individual for each employee.
■ We need to determine, for each employee, which toilet they will occupy and when.
■ Ties when two employees contest for a toilet are broken with the time of walking or, equivalently, by employees' indices.

## Toilets

- We want to simulate the process.
- We need to handle three possible situations:

1 An employee finds a free toilet.
2 A toilet becomes available.
3 A new employee starts the journey.

## Toilets

■ We want to simulate the process.
■ We need to handle three possible situations:
1 An employee finds a free toilet.
[2 A toilet becomes available.
3 A new employee starts the journey.
■ All our events are essentially additions and removals of toilets and employees, so we win if we can maintain the most recent future event under these queries.

## Optimizing the number of events

■ The first idea is to maintain all such events in a heap.

- However, there are $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ of them, so we can't do that directly.


## Optimizing the number of events

■ The first idea is to maintain all such events in a heap.

- However, there are $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ of them, so we can't do that directly.

■ We are interested only in the closest toilet to each employee and in two (one per direction) closest employees for each toilet.
■ We can find those using std: : set in $\mathcal{O}(\log (n+m))$ time.

## Optimizing the number of events

- The first idea is to maintain all such events in a heap.
- However, there are $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ of them, so we can't do that directly.
- We are interested only in the closest toilet to each employee and in two (one per direction) closest employees for each toilet.
$■$ We can find those using std: : set in $\mathcal{O}(\log (n+m))$ time.
■ The remaining observation is that we can update only the nearest toilets and employees after each change, making only a constant number of additional events per query.
- Time complexity is $\mathcal{O}(n \log (n+m))$.


# Problem N: (Un)labeled Graphs 

Idea: Mikhail Ivanov<br>Development: Mikhail Ivanov<br>Editorial: Mikhail Ivanov

## (Un)labeled Graphs

■ You are given a labeled graph $G$
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## (Un)labeled Graphs

■ You are given a labeled graph $G$

- Encode it with an unlabeled graph $H$

■ Preceding decoding, the vertices of $H$ shall be shuffled

## (Un)labeled Graphs

■ Idea: copy the initial graph $G$, write each vertex' number in binary
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■ Idea: copy the initial graph $G$, write each vertex' number in binary
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## (Un)labeled Graphs

■ Idea: copy the initial graph $G$, write each vertex' number in binary
■ Create $\ell=\left\lceil\log _{2} n\right\rceil$ auxiliary vertices $B_{0}, \ldots, B_{\ell-1}$ which encode these numbers
■ How to distinguish between main and auxiliary vertices?

## (Un)labeled Graphs

- Add two more vertices $T_{0}, T_{1}$, and connect them with all main vertices
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## (Un)labeled Graphs

■ Add two more vertices $T_{0}, T_{1}$, and connect them with all main vertices
■ Now $T_{0}$ and $T_{1}$ are the only vertices with coinciding neighborhood

- We can find the main vertices, we only need to enumerate them
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- How to find the order on the auxiliary vertices?


## (Un)labeled Graphs

■ How to find the order on the auxiliary vertices?
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## (Un)labeled Graphs

■ How to find the order on the auxiliary vertices?
■ Add new vertex $B_{\ell}$, add a path $B_{0} B_{1} \ldots B_{\ell}$
■ Now $B_{\ell}$ is the only auxiliary leaf

## (Un)labeled Graphs

■ How to find the order on the auxiliary vertices?
■ Add new vertex $B_{\ell}$, add a path $B_{0} B_{1} \ldots B_{\ell}$
■ Now $B_{\ell}$ is the only auxiliary leaf
■ $n+\left\lceil\log _{2} n\right\rceil+3$ vertices in total

# Problem O: Mysterious Sequence 

Idea: Nikolay Dubchuk
Development: Nikolay Dubchuk
Editorial: Nikolay Dubchuk

## Mysterious Sequence

- There is a formula:

$$
X_{i+2}=A \cdot X_{i+1}+B \cdot X_{i}
$$

## Mysterious Sequence

- There is a formula:

$$
X_{i+2}=A \cdot X_{i+1}+B \cdot X_{i}
$$

■ The task is to reconstruct all the elements of the sequence knowing only the first and last numbers: $X_{1}$ and $X_{N}$

## Mysterious Sequence

- Use binary search, find $X_{2}$, achieving the required precision with $X_{N}$


## Mysterious Sequence

- Use binary search, find $X_{2}$, achieving the required precision with $X_{N}$
- Or a mathematical solution: after calculating a power of the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, we calculate $X_{2}$ using $X_{1}$ and $X_{N}$

